



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/757,771 | 01/09/2001 | Fadi B. Chehade | END920060077US1 | 8439 |
| 30449 7590 10/23/2008 SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS 22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY 12110 | | | | |
| EXAMINER JOHNSON, GREGORY L | | | | |
| ART UNIT 3691 | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MAIL DATE 10/23/2008 | | DELIVERY MODE PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <p align="center">Interview Summary</p> | Application No. 09/757,771 | Applicant(s) CHEHADE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner GREGORY JOHNSON | Art Unit 3691 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JACK FRIEDMAN. (3) _____.

(2) GREGORY JOHNSON. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 26, 30, 69 and 70.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The discussion centered around the allowable subject matter of dependent claim 70 and the roll-up of claims 69-70 into independent claim 26. Also, claim 30 required a minor amendment. Agreement was reached regarding the discussed amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alexander Kalinowski/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691